2.4.2. Spare capacity and capacity deficit by year

• Figure 2.31 - Figure 2.33 and tables illustrate capacity deficit along the major pipeline routes. The capacity deficit is calculated from the level of 2000 and it assumes full upgrade of existing pipelines. Note that Base Case assumes that Yamal fields are connected to Yamburg.

Figure 2.31: Capacity Deficit by Major New Pipeline Project - 1 1. Yamal -2. Zapolyarnoe – 3. Urengoy -Urengoy (1) Yamburg Punga (2) Russia Shtokman bem bem bcm Other CIS Baltic States Europe Finland Vyborg St.Peters Zapolyarnoe Yamburg Poland Urengoy Torzhok Kondratki Gryazovets Russia Moscow Hungary Uzhgorod Surgut Lukoyanovskaya Nizhnevartovsk Molde Pochinki Algasovo Romania Tyumer Polyanskaya Petrovsk Chelyabinsk Drenburg Aleks.Gai Beregovoe Astrakhan Kazakhstan Turkey (2) Also Yamburg (1) Also takes flow © East European Gas Analysis from Gydan. - Kungur.